

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 09/482,725	Applicant(s) PEINADO ET AL.
Examiner CUONG H. NGUYEN		Art Unit 3661	

All Participants:

(1) CUONG H. NGUYEN (USPTO)

(2) Mr. Steven H Meyer (Reg. no. 37,189)

Date of Interview: 6 April 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The attorney wants to make sure that the Office Action mailed on 10/13/2004 was not a final office action; in response, the examiner confirms herein that Office Action mailed on 10/13/2004 is not a final office action (the PTO's PALM reflects this data.)

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)